THIS DISPOSITION IS NOT CITABLE AS
PRECEDENT OF THE TTAB   JAN 28,98

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
_____

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
_____

In re Unisys Corporation
_____

Serial Nos. 74/605,313; 74/610,287; 74/633,387
_____

Thomas J. Scott for applicant.

Josette M. Beverly, Trademark Examining Attorney, Law Office
102 (Myra Kurzbard, Managing Attorney).
_____

Before Quinn, Hohein and Walters, Administrative Trademark
Judges.

Opinion by Walters, Administrative Trademark Judge:

Unisys Corporation has filed trademark applications to

register the following marks:

> USOFT THE SERVER/CLIENT SOFTWARE COMPANY
> for "advertising, promoting and marketing of
> computer software of others, namely, a series of
> object-oriented software development tools used to
> build programs, run and manage networks by
> distributing advertising information via
> electronic, hard copy and trade shows
> presentations," in International Class 35;
> "installation of computer software, namely, a
> series of object-oriented software development
> tools used to build programs, run and manage
> networks," in International Class 37; and
> "computer software consultation and computer
> programming for others, namely, a series of

object-oriented software development tools used to build programs, run and manage networks," in International Class 42[1]; and

THE SERVER/CLIENT SOFTWARE COMPANY for "computer software – namely, a series of object-oriented software development programs used to build, run and manage applications," in International Class 9; "printed publications – namely, programmer, operator, installation and maintenance manuals for use with object-oriented software development tools used to build, run and manage applications," in International Class 16[2]; "advertising, promoting and marketing of computer software of others, namely, a series of object-oriented software development tools used to build programs, run and manage networks by distributing advertising information via electronic, hard copy and trade shows presentations," in International Class 35; "installation of computer software, namely, a series of object-oriented software development tools used to build programs, run and manage networks," in International Class 37; and "computer software consultation and computer programming for others, namely, a series of object-oriented software development tools used to build programs, run and manage networks," in International Class 42.[3]

With respect to the mark USOFT THE SERVER/CLIENT SOFTWARE COMPANY, the Trademark Examining Attorney required a disclaimer of the phrase THE SERVER/CLIENT SOFTWARE COMPANY and has finally refused registration under Section 6 of the Trademark Ace, 15 U.S.C. 1056, on the ground that

---

[1] Serial No. 74/605,313, filed December 1, 1994, based on based on an allegation of a bona fide intention to use the mark in commerce in connection with the identified services.

[2] Serial No. 74/633,387, filed February 13, 1995, based on an allegation of a bona fide intention to use the mark in commerce in connection with the identified goods.

[3] Serial No. 74/610,287, filed December 12, 1994, based on based on an allegation of a bona fide intention to use the mark in commerce in connection with the identified services.

this portion of applicant's mark is a unitary phrase which is merely descriptive in connection with applicant's services and, thus, applicant may not claim exclusive rights in the phrase THE SERVER/CLIENT SOFTWARE COMPANY apart from the mark as a whole. Similarly, with respect to the mark THE SERVER/CLIENT SOFTWARE COMPANY, the Trademark Examining Attorney has finally refused registration under Section 2(e)(1) of the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. 1052(e)(1), on the ground that applicant's mark is merely descriptive of its goods and services.[4]

Applicant has appealed in each case. In the interest of judicial economy, as the issues in these three appeals are essentially the same and the facts are similar, we consider the appeals together and issue a single opinion. Both applicant and the Examining Attorney have filed briefs, but oral hearings were not requested. We affirm the refusal to register in each case.

The test for determining whether a mark is merely descriptive is whether the involved term immediately conveys information concerning a quality, characteristic, function, ingredient, attribute or feature of a product or service. *In re Bright-Crest, Ltd*., 204 USPQ 591 (TTAB 1979); *In re*

---

[4] We note that in each of the three applications herein, applicant entered a disclaimer of "THE" and "SOFTWARE COMPANY" apart from the mark as a whole. However, in its brief on appeal in each case, applicant withdrew the disclaimer. Therefore, we do not consider these disclaimers herein.

Engineering Systems Corp., 2 USPQ2d 1075 (TTAB 1986). It is

not necessary, in order to find a mark merely descriptive,

that the mark describe each feature of the goods, only that

it describe a single, significant quality, feature, etc. *In*

re Venture Lending Associates, 226 USPQ 285 (TTAB 1985).

Further, it is well-established that the determination of

mere descriptiveness must be made not in the abstract or on

the basis of guesswork, but in relation to the goods or

services for which registration is sought, the context in

which the mark is used, and the impact that it is likely to

make on the average purchaser of such goods or services. *In*

re Recovery, 196 USPQ 830 (TTAB 1977).

    We take judicial notice of the following excerpts from

dictionary definitions of "server," "client," "client

server," and "client/server architecture":

> *server*[5]: a functional unit that provides shared
> services to workstations over a network.
>
> *client*[6]: A functional unit that receives shared
> services from a server.
>
> *client/server architecture*[7]: An arrangement used
> on local area networks that makes use of
> 'distributed intelligence' to treat both the
> server and the individual workstations as
> intelligent, programmable devices, thus
> exploiting the full computing power of each.

---

[5] *IBM* Dictionary of Computing, 1993.

[6] *id.*

[7] *Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary*, 1991. Although this definition
was submitted in an untimely manner by applicant with its brief, we take
judicial notice of this definition.

client server[8]: A computer on a local area network that you can request information or applications from. The idea is that you – the user – are the client and . . . – the slave – is the server. That was the original meaning of the term. Over time, client server began to refer to a computing system that splits the workload between desktop PCs (called 'workstations') and one or more larger computers (called 'servers') joined on a local area network (LAN).

software[9]: All or part of the programs, procedures, rules, and associated documentation of a data processing system.

Additionally, we note the following excerpts of

articles from the LEXIS/NEXIS database, which were submitted

by the Examining Attorney:

"Server and client software comes on two disks, and you install Imagery HSM at a Microsoft Windows client." *LAN Times*, March 4, 1996.

"Meridian Data's CD Net Plus is designed to solve this bottleneck. A combination of server and client software, the product approaches the situation from the viewpoint of the network administrator." *Computer Reseller News*, December 11, 1995.

"The world's first free-of-charge secure server/client software is available from INTERWEB INC which incorporates the SSL protocol and is compatible with the Netscape Navigator . . ." *Telecomworldwire*, February 6, 1996.

"The last thing an already harried network administrator needs is a CD-ROM server with client software that will generate help desk calls." *InfoWorld*, February 12, 1996.

---

[8] *id.*

[9] *IBM Dictionary of Computing, supra.*

In view thereof, the Examining Attorney contends that
the terms SERVER, CLIENT and SOFTWARE have well understood
meanings in the computer industry; that the slash "/"
between SERVER and CLIENT in applicant's mark means "and" or
"or"; that the evidence demonstrates that the terms SERVER
and CLIENT are "used in conjunction with one another to
refer to a type of data processing architecture"; that the
wording SOFTWARE COMPANY merely describes the nature of
applicant's business entity; that SERVER/CLIENT merely
describes the type of software company, as applicant
characterizes its services as featuring server/client
software products; and, thus, that the combined phrase THE
SERVER/CLIENT SOFTWARE COMPANY merely describes "the type of
business establishment from which [applicant's] services
emanate."

Applicant readily acknowledges "the extensive, well-
known use" in the computer industry of the terms "server,"
"client," "client/server software," "server software,"
"client software," and "server and client software" and that
the phrase "client/server" is "ingrained and very familiar
to members of the computer industry." However, while
"client/server" may be a term of art in the computer
industry, applicant contends that it's reversal of the two
components of that term, *i.e.*, to form the phrase
SERVER/CLIENT, creates a distinctive mark in connection with

its goods and services "wherein the server software is the

focus of increased functionality in a client/server

environment." In support of the contention that its

particular juxtaposition of "server" and "client" is unique,

in two of the three applications herein applicant submitted

an article[10] by the ButlerBloor Organization[11] describing and

evaluating applicant's goods and services, in pertinent

part, as follows:

> USoft calls itself the server/client software
> company. This is well thought out. It makes the
> reader stop and think. Most particularly, it
> reflects the emphasis on the server. Unlike some
> so-called competitive products, which are really
> client development tools and actually do very
> little at the server level, USoft have *(sic)* not
> neglected client performance either.

In the present case, it is our view that, when applied

to applicant's proposed goods and services, the phrase THE

SERVER/CLIENT SOFTWARE COMPANY immediately describes,

without conjecture or speculation, a significant feature or

function of those goods and services. In particular,

applicant is a software company which intends to offer

client and server computer software products and related

services which are "server-centric" in application. Nothing

---

[10] There is no indication as to whether this report is independently prepared or commissioned by applicant.

[11] The record in application Serial No. 74/605,313 does not contain the ButlerBloor report, although the report is referenced in the brief in that case. Thus, while the report cannot be considered in connection with the appeal in that case, we note that our decision in that case would be the same if the report was of record in that application.

requires the exercise of imagination, cogitation, mental processing or gathering of further information in order for purchasers of and prospective customers for applicant's goods and services to readily perceive the merely descriptive significance of the phrase THE SERVER/CLIENT SOFTWARE COMPANY as it pertains to those goods and services.

Applicant is correct that a combination of descriptive words may result in an arbitrary unitary designation which is registrable. However, this is true only if the juxtaposition of the words is inventive, evokes a unique commercial impression, or if the term has a bizarre or incongruous meaning as applied to the goods or services. *See, In re National Shooting Sports Foundation, Inc.*, 219 USPQ 1018, 1020 (TTAB 1983) and cases cited therein. Such is not the case herein. Clearly, the combination of the words "server" and "client" into the term SERVER/CLIENT has a meaning which ordinary usage would ascribe to those words in combination and the fact that the term SERVER/CLIENT is not found in the dictionary is not controlling on the question of registrability. *See, In re Gould Paper Corp.*, 834 F.2d 1017, 5 USPQ2d 1110, 1112 (Fed Cir. 1987) and *In re Orleans Wines, Ltd*., 196 USPQ 516, 517 (TTAB 1977). Further, rather than evoking a unique commercial impression or creating an incongruous meaning, the phrase SERVER/CLIENT

describes precisely the fact, touted by applicant, that its
software and services focus on the server.[12]

Finally, the fact that applicant may be the first
entity to use the phrase SERVER/CLIENT in connection with
its goods and services is not dispositive where, as here,
such term unequivocally projects a merely descriptive
connotation. *See, In re MBAssociates*, 180 USPQ 338, 339
(TTAB 1973).

In conclusion, we find the phrase THE SERVER/CLIENT
SOFTWARE COMPANY to be merely descriptive in connection with
the proposed goods and services identified in the
applications herein.

Decision: The refusals in applications Serial Nos.
74/610,287 and 74/633,387 under Section 2(e)(1) of the Act
are affirmed. Likewise, the requirement for a disclaimer in
application Serial No. 74/605,313 under Section 6 of the Act
is affirmed. With respect to application Serial No.
74/605,313, applicant is allowed until twenty days from the
mailing date of this decision to submit a proper disclaimer

---

[12] Nor does the slash "/" between the words SERVER and CLIENT lend a
distinctive quality to applicant's proposed mark.

of the phrase THE SERVER/CLIENT SOFTWARE COMPANY, failing

which registration will be refused. *See*, Trademark Rule

2.142(g).

                                  T. J. Quinn

                                  G. D. Hohein

                                  C. E. Walters
                                  Administrative Trademark Judges,
                                  Trademark Trial and Appeal Board